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Abstract: This paper attempts to examine the ethical view of future accountants in Malaysia. Specifically, this study seeks to investigate future accountants’ perception of whistle blowing and the likelihood of blowing the whistle in relation to seriousness of questionable act, gender and academic performance. About 150 questionnaires were distributed to final year accounting students in a public university in Malaysia; however, only 105 questionnaires were usable and completed to be used in the study. Majority of the respondents are taking a relatively moderate approach towards their willingness to blow the whistle. The regression result reveals that the seriousness of the unethical act has a significant and positive relationship with the probability of blowing the whistle by these future accountants. In addition, the result appears to suggest that high academic achiever have a negative relationship with the probability of whistle blowing.
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1. Background of the Study

Following the worldwide corporate scandals in the US and Europe, public scrutiny of accountants decisions have increased. More attention is directed to the ethicality of the accountants’ actions (Pierce & Sweeney, 2010). Ethical conduct has been recognized as an important element in accounting profession and education (Abu Bakar et al., 2010). It is believed that professionalism and ethics should be upheld.

One of the areas of interest relating to ethic is whistle blowing. Whistle blowing is defined as the disclosure by an organization’s member of any illegal, immoral or illegitimate practices under the control of their employers to a person that may be able to affect action (Khan, 2009). After the Enron case, perception on whistle blowing beginning to change, whistle blowing is now viewed as an accountability and risk management tool that can be used to safeguard the interest of the company and public (Lee, 2005). It is also claimed that there has been a substantial increase in the recognition of the importance of whistle blowing as a mean of reducing corruption and dangerous situations by improving the disclosure of information about illegal, dangerous or unethical activities by government and private organization (Banisar, 2006).

Countries around the world are now working to develop legal regimes to encourage these important disclosures and protect the whistle blowers from retribution (Banisar, 2006). Over 30 countries have now adopted specific whistle blower protections, while others have adopted protections through other laws such as labor laws or public sector employment rules (Banisar, 2006). In Malaysia, a whistleblower provision is found in the Companies Act 1965. Subsection 174(8) of the act requires auditors to report to the Companies Commission of Malaysia, if the auditor encountered a breach or non-compliance with any provision of the Company Act in the course of the statutory audit. The requirements to report breaches of laws are often referred as the “whistle-blowing” duty. Section 50 and 99E of the Securities Industry Act 1983 also require auditors to report breaches of securities law to the authorities.

Although interest in whistle blowing continues to increase, little is known about why some employees who observe wrong doing report it, while others do not (Ponnu, Naidu & Zamri, 2008). In an attempt to address the public’s concerns, the accounting profession has emphasized the need to start ethics education early in an accountant’s career, even before he or she enters the profession (Rafik, 2008). Prior studies on
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how accounting students react to whistle blowing is limited and mostly conducted outside Malaysia. This
reveals the need to further investigate this issue. Thus, this study is conducted to examine the future
accountants’ perception on whistle blowing and their likelihood to blow the whistle.

2. Literature Review

Whistle blowing is defined as the disclosure by an organization’s member of any illegal, immoral or
illegitimate practices under the control of their employers to a person that may be able to affect action (Khan,
2009) or a person who discloses significant acts of corruptions, waste, fraud, misuse or abuse of
authority in contravention of the country’s laws or regulations in either the public or private sector (Lee,
2005). Another study defines whistle blower as a subversive spy or responsible corporate citizen who has the
courage to do as their conscience dictates (Anwar, 2003). Those who report individual or organizational
violations of federal or state laws to their supervisors or the government face major risks, and individuals
who wish to report alleged wrong doing should take great pause while considering the potential ramifications
of the decision before notifying authorities or superiors of misconduct (Brewer, 2005).

Whistle blowing is a complex process that involves personal and organizational factors. Significant
research has investigated the antecedents of whistle blowing. Rafik (2008) concludes that students generally
feel that whistle blowing is necessary in cases of fraud, although they are less likely to do it themselves,
probably due to the high costs involved such as retaliation and the difficulty of finding a future job in the
same profession. It is also claimed that auditing students are more likely to perceive whistle blowing as
serious and more likely to blow the whistle compared to other students. These results have emphasized the
need for professionals to develop ethical attitudes early in their career, even before they enter the profession.

O’Leary and Cotter (2000) examined the ethical attitudes of final year accountancy students in Ireland
and Australia. Students were surveyed as to whether they would accept a bribe and/or cheat in an exam.
Their attitudes towards whistle blowing if they became aware of improprieties were also reviewed. Among
the students, 58 per cent of Irish and 23 per cent of Australian appeared willing to participate in fraud. These
percentages plummeted when the risk of being caught was introduced. Males appeared between two and four
times more likely than females to act unethically. Just greater than 50 per cent of Australian and just fewer
than 50 per cent of Irish students appeared willing to be whistleblowers.

Before an individual would consider responding to an issue of principle, the issue must be serious
enough to warrant consideration of response (Curtis, 2006). Seriousness is an evaluation of the issue,
influenced by the characteristics of the situation and others involved, including such concerns as likelihood
of harm to others, significance or magnitude of potential harm, certainty of negative outcomes and frequency
of such wrongdoing (Curtis, 2006). Near & Miceli (1985) find that the seriousness of a wrongdoing,
operationalized as its dollar value, is positively related to whistle-blowing activities among U.S. government
employees. This is supported by Near & Miceli (1996) who claim that the frequency of an observed
wrongdoing is related to whistle-blowing behavior; the consequences of more frequent activities may be
easier to predict based on past experience. Curtis (2006) finds that perceived seriousness is positively
associated with whistle-blowing intentions. In addition, the type of wrongdoing and its perceived severity
has been found to be positively related to whistle blowing (Near & Miceli, 1996).

Previous whistle blowing studies have also used gender in predicting the individual intention to whistle
blow. Previous studies show that men and women differ significantly in making ethical judgments. With
regards to whistle blowing, studies have found that women are less likely than men to engage in whistle
blowing acts (Ahmad el al, 2010). Males may have more opportunities to observe wrongdoing, because as a
group they are more widely distributed across different job categories than are females, who tend still to be
occupationally (Near & Miceli, 1985). If whistle blowing is viewed as behavior deviating from majority
opinion, then females should be less likely to blow the whistle than should males (Near & Miceli, 1985,
Ponnu, Naidu & Zamri, 2008). This is supported by Pierce & Sweeney who find that females are found to be
more ethical than males in terms of their intentions and judgments. Male students are also claimed to indulge
more in unethical manner compare to female and female are more inclined towards making ethical judgment
(Abu Bakar et al., 2010). A survey done by O’Leary & Cotter (2000) also shows that male student
significantly more likely to act unethically as opposed to their female counterparts. Research regarding
academic performance of accounting students and their ethic values are limited. It is claimed that a student with higher education degree is more likely to blow the whistle (Ponnu et al, 2008). Abu Bakar et al. (2010) conduct a study relating to students cheating in examination. They find that good students are less likely to cheat in examinations as compared to those with lower grades. Ethical behavior has a significant relationship with academic achievement.

3. Research Method

The data for the study is collected using primary source. Questionnaires were distributed to 150 final year accounting students in a public university in Malaysia. However, only 105 questionnaires were returned and usable for the purpose of the study. The questionnaire attempts to examine these future accountants’ perception of whistle blowing and their likelihood of blowing the whistle. The questionnaire used in this study is adopted from Ahmad et al. (2010), Abu Bakar et al. (2010) and Pierce & Sweeney (2009). In addition, short interviews were also conducted to better understand the issue under study.

Data was checked for normality, reliability, validity and multicollinearity. Regression analysis is employed to analyse the data. The regression model used in the study is as follows:

\[ PWB = \alpha + \beta_1 SQA + \beta_2 G + \beta_3 AP + \varepsilon \]

Where,  
- \( PWB \) = Probability of blowing the whistle
- \( \alpha \) = Constant
- \( SQA \) = Seriousness of questionable act
- \( G \) = Gender
- \( AP \) = Academic Performance
- \( \varepsilon \) = Error term

4. Results and Discussion

Analysis of the profile of the respondents indicates that more than majority (73.3%) of the respondents are female and 26.7% of the respondents are male. About 49.5% of the respondents are Malays, 46.7% are Chinese and the balance is Indian. More than 37% of the respondents have CGPA between 3.51-4.00. Another 44% of the respondents have CGPA between 3.01-3.50, and the balance (18.1%) has CGPA below 3.00.

Table 1 shows the information about the respondents’ perception on whistle blowing. The results in Table 1 indicate that final year accounting students are mostly moderate in their perception of whistle blowing. Short interviews with them reveal that, they may take the “wait and see” approach. They appear to understand the important of whistle blowing, but they would only do so if it is really necessary. Some of them reveal that if they encounter unethical conflicts, they would not act unethically nor would they blow the whistle. This result is similar to an earlier local study by Abu Bakar et al. (2010).

Table 1: Perception on whistle blowing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I think whistle blower is a hero.</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>0.6219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whistle blowing upholds moral, ethical practices and professionalism.</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>0.5175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As a future accountant, ethical value is very important</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>0.6017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I will likely to blow the whistle if I really need to do so</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>0.5022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whistle blower is a responsible corporate citizen.</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>0.7030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whistle blower can help to decrease the corruptions, fraud or mismanagement.</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>0.7203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whistle blowing encourages ethical behavior.</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>0.6424</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
They find that although the students would not prefer to indulge in unethical behaviour, but majority of them opt to be on the safe-side by neither act unethically nor blow the whistle.

The data is also checked for normality and multicollinearity before the regression analysis is carried out. Overall, the data analysed appears to be normally distributed as their skewness and kurtosis values are between ±3.00 (Kline, 2005, p.50). Table 2 presents the pairwise correlation coefficient of all the variables used in the study. The results indicate that there is no multicollinearity problem, as the correlations are below the threshold value of 0.8 (Gujarati, 2003, p. 359).

Table 2: Pearson Correlation of the variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PWB</th>
<th>SQA</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>AP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probability of whistle blowing</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seriousness of questionable act</td>
<td>.434***</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-.146*</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic performance</td>
<td>-.248***</td>
<td>.074</td>
<td>.195**</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1. Regression Analysis

Table 3 presents the regression results of the study. The value of the adjusted R-Squared is 0.261, with the F value of 13.221 (p < 0.000). This adjusted R-squared is similar to a study by Lawson (2004) which examines the business students’ willingness to engage in whistle blowing. His study generates an adjusted R-squared of 0.264.

Table 3: Regression Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>T-stat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probability of whistle blowing</td>
<td>3.964</td>
<td>4.891***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seriousness of questionable act</td>
<td>.571</td>
<td>5.441***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-.232</td>
<td>-1.432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic performance</td>
<td>-.689</td>
<td>-2.997***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two of the three independent variables are significant in their relationship with the likelihood of blowing the whistle. The results indicate that there is a positive and significant relationship between the possibility of whistle blowing and the seriousness of the questionable act at 1% level of significant. This finding is consistent with Curtis (2006) who claims that an individual would consider responding to an issue if that issue is serious enough to warrant consideration of response. From the answers in the questionnaires, the respondents cite as follows:

“if the unethical behavior will affect other human being seriously, then I will report it”
“if it gives a big effect to the company, then I will blow the whistle”
“my purpose to whistle blow is to protect our surrounding”

The second significant variable is academic performance. The result appears to suggest that high achiever future accountants are less likely to blow the whistle than the low achiever. This result contradicts the finding from earlier study by Lawson (2004). Short interviews with these high achievers reveal the following:

“I will not whistle blow because I am afraid of being boycott or sack by the company”,
“It may be necessary but I need to protect myself first”
“I will let the others do it first, see what is the feedback from the company”

These findings supports the earlier findings by Rafik (2008) who reveals that some students generally feel that whistle blowing is necessary in cases of fraud, but they are less likely to do it themselves, probably due to the possibility of being sacks or other consequences of such behavior, for example, the difficulty of finding a future job in the same profession
However, the other variable, gender of the future accountants is not significant in its relationship with the possibility of blowing the whistle. This is consistent with a prior study by Ponnu et al. (2008) who finds an insignificant relationship between the variables.

5. Conclusion and Limitation of the Study

The objective of this study is to examine the future accountants’ perception on whistle blowing and their likelihood of blowing the whistle. The results indicate that the seriousness of the questionable act and academic performance are significantly related to the possibility of blowing the whistle. However, gender is not significant in its relationship with the probability of blowing the whistle. The finding also appears to indicate that majority of the respondents are taking a relatively moderate approach towards their willingness to blow the whistle. They appear to understand the need to act ethically and blow the whistle, but will only do so if it is really necessary. This highlights the importance of the ethic education, as well as the educators’ and the university’s role to continuously encourage and motivate the students to always act ethically and this attributes should be reflected in their work upon their graduation. Besides incorporating the ethic values in the courses in accounting program, organizing ethics related programs such as talks and seminars may also enhance ethical behavior of students.
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